

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FIL | ING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------|------------|------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/759,940 | 01/16/2004 | | David William Boerstler | AUS920030715US1 | 8206 | |
| 7 | 590 | 10/07/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| Gregory W. Carr | | | | TRA, ANH QUAN | | |
| 670 Founders S | Square | | • | , part plan | DA DED MUADED | |
| 900 Jackson Street | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Dallas, TX 75202 | | | | 2816 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAIL ED. 10/07/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | \mathcal{N} | | | |
|--|---|--|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | - 17 | | | |
| Advisory Action | 10/759,940 | BOERSTLER ET AI | | | | |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Quan Tra | 2816 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>03 October 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl | ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (| ence, which CFR 41.31; or | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv | | . 6. 1 | | | | |
| event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the | an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of | f the final rejection. | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) | ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI). | RST REPLY WAS FILE | DWITHINTWO | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com | pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be | e filed within two mon | ths of the date | | | |
| of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | |
| Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS | | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for | | | | | | |
| appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally re | iected claims | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | , | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). | llowable if submitted in a separate | , timely filed amendm | ent canceling | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: | ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended. | ill be entered and an | explanation of | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons why the affida | vit or other evidence | s necessary | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | entry is below or attac | ched. | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | it does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | |

QUAN TRA PRIMARY EXAMINER

10/06/05

13. Other: ____.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).